

Convergence of Gauss–Christoffel Formula with Preassigned Node for Cauchy Principal-Value Integrals*

GIULIANA CRISCUOLO

*Istituto per Applicazioni della Matematica, C.N.R.,
Viale A. Gramsci, 5, 80122 Napoli, Italy*

AND

GIUSEPPE MASTROIANNI

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università di Napoli,
Via Mezzocannone, 8, 80134 Napoli, Italy*

Communicated by Paul G. Nevai

Received March 7, 1985; revised July 24, 1985

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY OF GÉZA FREUD

The authors consider Gauss–Christoffel formulas with preassigned node 0 for evaluating Cauchy singular integrals with an even generalized smooth Jacobi weight. A convergence theorem is given, and some asymptotic estimates of the remainder are established. © 1987 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Phi(f; t)$ be a Cauchy principal-value (V.P.) integral of the function f , namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(f; t) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x)}{x-t} w(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \int_{-1}^{t-\varepsilon} + \int_{t+\varepsilon}^1 \right\} \frac{f(x)}{x-t} w(x) dx, \quad |t| < 1, \quad (1.1)\end{aligned}$$

* Work sponsored by the Italian Research Council and by the Ministero della Pubblica Istruzione of Italy.

where

(i) $f \in TD := \{f \in C^0(I) / \int_0^1 u^{-1} \omega(f; u) du < \infty\}$. Here $I = [-1, 1]$ and $\omega(f; \cdot)$ is the modulus of continuity of f in I ;

(ii) $w(x) := \psi(x)(1 - x^2)^\mu$; $\mu > -1$, $\psi(x) = \psi(-x)$, $0 \leq \psi \in TD$, $1/\psi$ is (Lebesgue) integrable on I .

It is well known that (i) and (ii) imply the existence and continuity of $\Phi(f; t)$ moreover [2]:

$$\omega(\Phi f; \delta) = O(\omega(f; \delta)) \quad (\delta \rightarrow 0).$$

In order to approximate Φf , we construct a Gauss-Christoffel type formula Φ_{2m} with a fixed node at 0 of a given multiplicity $2s$. This formula is a generalization of those contained in [11, 6].

The principal aim of this work is to examine the convergence of the sequence $\{\Phi_{2m} f\}$ under the assumption that the function $f \in TD$ has derivatives of whatever order will be needed at 0. We prove there is a subsequence $\{\Phi_{2m_n} f\}$ that converges uniformly to Φf on some closed subset of $(-1, 1) - \{0\}$. However, there exist also divergent subsequences $\{\Phi_{2m_v} f\}$. Consequently, one concludes that when the function f is not sufficiently smooth, this type of quadrature formula is unsuitable for applications.

We also prove that if we omit in $\Phi_{2m} f$ a term corresponding to a node nearest to the singularity, then we obtain a quadrature formula $\Phi_{2m}^* f$ ($m \in N$) that converges uniformly on a closed subset of $(-1, 1) - \{0\}$ to Φf , under the previous assumptions on $f \in TD$.

Moreover, we determine some asymptotic estimates of the remainders corresponding to the formulas Φ_{2m} and Φ_{2m}^* .

2. A GAUSS-CHRISTOFFEL TYPE FORMULA FOR THE EVALUATION OF PRINCIPAL VALUE INTEGRAL

Let

$$v(x) = x^{2s} w(x) \tag{2.1}$$

where $s \in N$ and w is defined by (ii).

Moreover, let $\{p_n\}$ be the sequence of the orthogonal polynomials in I associated with the weight function v defined by (2.1). Then, we denote the zeros of $p_n(x)$ by $x_{n,i} = x_{n,i}(v)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), and the corresponding Christoffel numbers by $\lambda_{n,i} = \lambda_{n,i}(v)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). The function v is a "generalized smooth Jacobi" weight ($v \in GSSJ$), and the properties of the orthogonal polynomials p_n have been extensively studied by Stancu [13], Rothmann [12], Badkov [1] and Nevai [9].

Now, we consider the Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formula with respect

to the weight function w with the fixed knot 0, given with its order of multiplicity $2s$ [13]

$$G_{2m}(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} A_{2m,i} g(x_{2m,i}) + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} B_{2m,2j} \tilde{g}^{(2j)}(0) \quad (2.2)$$

where $x_{2m,i} = x_{2m,i}(v)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$), and

$$A_{2m,i} = \frac{\dot{\lambda}_{2m,i}(v)}{x_{2m,i}^{2s}(v)}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m), \quad (2.3)$$

$$B_{2m,2j} = \int_{-1}^1 \beta_{2m,2j}(x) w(x) dx, \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, s-1), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\beta_{2m,2j}(x) = \frac{x^{2j}}{(2j)!} p_{2m}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{s-j} \frac{x^{2k}}{(2k)!} \left[\frac{1}{p_{2m}(x)} \right]_{x=0}^{(2k)},$$

and where the function g is defined on I . As the weight function v is even, we have

$$x_{2m,i} = -x_{2m,2m-i+1}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m),$$

$$A_{2m,i} = A_{2m,2m-i+1}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Let $R_{2m}(g)$ be the remainder that is defined by

$$\int_{-1}^1 g(x) w(x) dx = G_{2m}(g) + R_{2m}(g) \quad (2.5)$$

It is well known that the quadrature formula (2.2) has degree of exactness $4m + 2s - 1$.

Now, we may construct a Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formula for the approximate evaluation of Φf by the formula (2.2). In order to approximate the integral Φf , we write

$$\Phi(f; t) = f(t) \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w(x)}{x-t} dx + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x) - f(t)}{x-t} w(x) dx. \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, by (2.5) we have

$$\Phi(f; t) = f(t) \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w(x)}{x-t} dx + G_{2m} \left(\frac{f-f(t)}{e_1-t} \right) + R_{2m} \left(\frac{f-f(t)}{e_1-t} \right), \quad (2.7)$$

where $e_k(x) = x^k$ ($k \in N$), and we have assumed that $t \neq 0$. Then (2.7) can be rewritten in the following form

$$\Phi(f; t) = \Phi_{2m}(f; t) + E_{2m}(f; t), \quad (2.8)$$

where we assume

$$E_{2m}(f; t) = R_{2m} \left(\frac{f-f(t)}{e_1-t} \right), \tag{2.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{2m}(f; t) = & f(t) \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w(x)}{x-t} dx + \sum_{i=1}^{2m} A_{2m,i} \frac{f(x_{2m,i})-f(t)}{x_{2m,i}-t} \\ & + \frac{B_{2m,0}(t)}{t} f(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{2s-2} \frac{B_{2m,j}(t)}{t} f^{(j)}(0), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

$$B_{2m,2s-2}(t) = B_{2m,2s-2}^{\sim} \tag{2.11}$$

$$B_{2m,j}(t) = B_{2m,j}^{\sim} + (j+1) \frac{B_{2m,j+1}^{\sim}(t)}{t} \quad (j = 2s-3, \dots, 1, 0),$$

where $B_{2m,2j+1}^{\sim} = 0$. Moreover, by

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{w(x)}{x-t} dx - \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{A_{2m,i}}{x_{2m,i}-t} + \frac{B_{2m,0}(t)}{t} = R_{2m} \left(\frac{1}{e_1-t} \right) := A_{2m}(t),$$

(2.10) becomes

$$\Phi_{2m}(f; t) = A_{2m}(t) f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{A_{2m,i}}{x_{2m,i}-t} f(x_{2m,i}) - \sum_{j=0}^{2s-2} \frac{B_{2m,j}(t)}{t} f^{(j)}(0). \tag{2.12}$$

We have established (2.12) under the assumption that $t \neq 0$; however, by an easy limit calculus, we may have the following quadrature formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x)}{x} w(x) dx \cong & \Phi_{2m}(f; 0) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{A_{2m,i}}{x_{2m,i}} [f(x_{2m,i}) - f(-x_{2m,i})] \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{B_{2m,2j}}{2j+1} f^{(2j+1)}(0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

We note that formulas (2.12) and (2.13) have degree of exactness $4m + 2s$, but (2.12) depends on $m + 2s$ coefficients, whereas only $m + s$ coefficients are in (2.13); furthermore, the calculation of these is easier.

Finally, we observe that in the special case in which $w \equiv 1$ and $s = 1$, the formula (2.13) becomes the formula given by Hunter in [6], and for $w \equiv 1$ and $s = 0$, we obtain the Piessen's formula [11].

Now, we want examine the convergence of the formulas that we have introduced. It is clear that the convergence of the formula (2.13) follows

easily; in fact, the function f has a derivative at point 0 and so the function $(f(x))/x$ is Riemann-integrable. Therefore, we shall attend to the convergence of the quadrature formula (2.10).

3. NOTATIONS AND BASIC LEMMAS

The symbol “const” stands for some positive constant taking a different value each time it is used. If A and B are two expressions depending on some variables, then we write

$$A \sim B \quad \text{if} \quad |AB^{-1}| \leq \text{const} \quad \text{and} \quad |A^{-1}B| \leq \text{const}$$

uniformly for the variables under consideration. Throughout this paper, $C_s(I)$ denotes the class of the continuous functions on I with $2s-2$ derivatives at point 0, and A denotes a closed set such that $A \subset (-1, 1) - \{0\}$.

As mentioned above, v is a generalized smooth Jacobi weight function; the properties of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials and of the Christoffel numbers are well known [1, 9].

From among these, we recall the important relations

$$\theta_{2m,i} - \theta_{2m,i+1} \sim (2m)^{-1}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m - 1), \tag{3.1}$$

where $x_{2m,i} = \cos \theta_{2m,i}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m$) are the zeros of p_{2m} , so ordered

$$-1 < x_{2m,1} < x_{2m,2} < \dots < x_{2m,2m} < 1,$$

(see [9, Theorem 9.22, p. 166]), and

$$\lambda_{2m,i}(v) \sim (2m)^{-1} (1 - x_{2m,i}^2)^{\mu + 1/2} (|x_{2m,i}| + (2m)^{-1})^{2s}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m). \tag{3.2}$$

(See [9, Theorem 6.3.28, p. 120].)

Then, we set: $N^* = \{m \in N / x_{2m,i} \neq t, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$, and $x_{2m,c}$ denotes the closest knot to t ; more precisely

$$|t - x_{2m,c}| = \min\{t - x_{2m,d}, x_{2m,d+1} - t\},$$

where: $x_{2m,d} \leq t \leq x_{2m,d+1}$, ($0 \leq d \leq 2m$). It is obvious that

$$N^\sim = \{m \in N^* / t - x_{2m,d} \sim x_{2m,d+1} - t\} \subseteq N^*,$$

and both sets are infinite [3].

At this point we prove the following lemmas, we need later for the main theorem.

LEMMA 3.I. *The inequalities*

$$\frac{\lambda_{2m,i}}{|x_{2m,i} - t|} < \frac{A_{2m,i}}{|x_{2m,i} - t|} < \frac{C}{(1 - t^2)} \{ (2m)^{-1} |x_{2m,i} - t|^{-1} + (1 - x_{2m,i}^2)^{-1/2} \}$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m), \quad (3.3)$$

hold for some constant $C > 0$ independent of m .

Proof. By (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$A_{2m,i} = \lambda_{2m,i} x_{2m,i}^{-2s} \sim (2m)^{-1} (1 - x_{2m,i}^2)^{\mu + 1/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m |x_{2m,i}|} \right)^{2s},$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m).$$

Moreover, as the weight function $v \in GSJ$ is even, and by (3.1), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2m |x_{2m,i}|} \leq \frac{1}{2m |x_{2m,m}|} \sim 1, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m).$$

Thus

$$A_{2m,i} \leq \text{const } (2m)^{-1} (1 - x_{2m,i}^2)^{-1/2}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, 2m),$$

from which the second inequality in (3.3) easily follows, and also first inequality, from

$$A_{2m,i} \leq \lambda_{2m,i}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m). \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 3.II. *Let $x_{2m,c}$ be the closest knot to t . If we set*

$$\sigma_m^*(t) = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq c}}^{2m} \frac{A_{2m,i}}{|x_{2m,i} - t|} \quad (3.4)$$

then

$$\sigma_m^*(t) \sim \log m, \quad (m \in N), \quad (3.5)$$

holds uniformly on A .

Proof. Without any loss of generality, we suppose that

$$x_{2m,c} = x_{2m,d} \leq t < x_{2m,d+1}; \quad \text{thus} \quad t - x_{2m,d-1} \sim x_{2m,d+1} - t \sim (2m)^{-1}.$$

Then, by (3.3), we have

$$\sigma_m^*(t) > \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq d}}^{2m} \frac{\lambda_{2m,i}}{|x_{2m,i} - t|} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{\lambda_{2m,i}}{t - x_{2m,i}} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{2m} \frac{\lambda_{2m,i}}{x_{2m,i} - t}. \quad (3.6)$$

Further, the function $u(x) = |x - t|^{-1}$ is such that

$$u^{(i)}(x) > 0, \quad x \in [-1, x_{2m,d-1}], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and

$$(-1)^i u^{(i)}(x) > 0, \quad x \in [x_{2m,d+1}, 1], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

Then, by the generalized Markov–Stieltjes inequalities (see Lemma I.5.3 in [5, p. 30] and Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 in [8, p. 222]), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{\lambda_{2m,i}}{t - x_{2m,i}} \geq \int_{-1}^{x_{2m,d-1}} \frac{v(x)}{t - x} dx,$$

$$\sum_{i=d+1}^{2m} \frac{\lambda_{2m,i}}{x_{2m,i} - t} \geq \int_{x_{2m,d+1}}^1 \frac{v(x)}{x - t} dx.$$

From these inequalities, we easily get

$$\sigma_m^*(t) > 2v(t) \log m + v(t) \log(1 - t^2) + V(t),$$

where

$$V(t) = \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{v(x) - v(t)}{x - t} \right| dx.$$

From $v \in TD$, we have that the function V is continuous on A , and thus

$$\sigma_m^*(t) > a_1 \log m + b_1 \quad (\forall t \in A),$$

where a_1, b_1 are two constants, independent of m .

Further, by (3.3) we obtain

$$\sigma_m^*(t) \leq \frac{\text{const}}{1 - t^2} \left[\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq c}}^{2m} \frac{1}{2m |x_{2m,i} - t|} + \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{1}{2m \sqrt{1 - x_{2m,i}^2}} \right].$$

By (3.1), we represent the two summations in the last inequality as Riemann–Darboux ones. Thus

$$\sigma_m^*(t) \leq \frac{\text{const}}{1 - t^2} \left[\int_{-1}^{x_{2m,d-1}} \frac{dx}{t - x} + \int_{x_{2m,d+1}}^1 \frac{dx}{x - t} + \pi \right].$$

Again, by (3.1) and $t \in A$, we have

$$\sigma_m^*(t) \leq a_2 \log m + b_2,$$

where a_2, b_2 are two constants, independent of m .

Hence the lemma is proved. ■

LEMMA 3.III. For the coefficients $B_{2m,2r}^{\sim}$ in (2.2) the inequalities

$$|B_{2m,2r}^{\sim}| \leq \frac{K}{(2r)! m^{2r+1}}, \quad (r = 0, 1, \dots, s-1), \tag{3.7}$$

hold, where K is a constant, independent of m and r .

Proof. If one set $g(x) = x^{2r}$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$) in (2.5), we obtain

$$B_{2m,2r}^{\sim} = \frac{1}{(2r)!} \int_{-1}^1 x^{2r} w(x) dx - \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{\lambda_{2m,i}}{x_{2m,i}^{2(s-r)}}.$$

Now, the function $\gamma(x) = x^{2(s-r)}$ ($r < s$) is such that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(i)}(x) &> 0, & x < 0, & i = 0, 1, \dots, \\ (-1)^i \gamma^{(i)}(x) &> 0, & x > 0, & i = 0, 1, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$v(x) \gamma(x) = x^{2r} w(x).$$

Then, by the generalized Markov-Stieltjes inequalities (see [8, p. 222]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\lambda_{2m,i}}{x_{2m,i}^{2(s-r)}} &\leq \int_{-1}^{x_{2m,m}} x^{2r} w(x) dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\lambda_{2m,i}}{x_{2m,i}^{2(s-r)}}, \\ \sum_{i=m+2}^{2m} \frac{\lambda_{2m,i}}{x_{2m,i}^{2(s-r)}} &\leq \int_{x_{2m,m+1}}^1 x^{2r} w(x) dx \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^{2m} \frac{\lambda_{2m,i}}{x_{2m,i}^{2(s-r)}}. \end{aligned}$$

From these inequalities, we deduce

$$\alpha_m - \beta_m \leq B_{2m,2r}^{\sim} \leq \alpha_m,$$

where

$$\alpha_m = \frac{1}{(2r)!} \int_{x_{2m,m}}^{x_{2m,m+1}} x^{2r} w(x) dx,$$

and

$$\beta_m = \frac{2}{(2r)!} \frac{\lambda_{2m,m+1}}{x_{2m,m+1}^{2(s-r)}}.$$

Thus

$$|B_{2m,2r}^{\sim}| \leq \max\{\alpha_m, \beta_m\}.$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2r)! \alpha_m &= w(\xi) \int_{x_{2m,m}}^{x_{2m,m+1}} x^{2r} dx = w(\xi) \frac{x_{2m,m+1}^{2r+1} - x_{2m,m}^{2r+1}}{2r+1} \\ &= 2\omega(\xi) x_{2m,m+1}^{2r+1} \sim m^{-2r-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi \in (x_{2m,m}, x_{2m,m+1})$. Moreover, by (3.2), we see

$$\begin{aligned} (2r)! \beta_m &\sim m^{-1} (1 - x_{2m,m+1}^2)^{\mu+1/2} \left(x_{2m,m+1} + \frac{1}{2m}\right)^{2s} x_{2m,m+1}^{2(r-s)} \\ &= m^{-1} (1 - x_{2m,m+1}^2)^{\mu+1/2} \left(x_{2m,m+1} + \frac{1}{2m}\right)^{2r} \\ &\quad \times \left(x_{2m,m+1} + \frac{1}{2m}\right)^{2s-2r} x_{2m,m+1}^{2(r-s)} \\ &= m^{-1} (1 - x_{2m,m+1}^2)^{\mu+1/2} \left(x_{2m,m+1} + \frac{1}{2m}\right)^{2r} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2mx_{2m,m+1}}\right)^{2s-2r} \\ &\sim m^{-2r-1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the lemma is proved. ■

Before proceeding any further, we observe that the result of Lemma 3.III is sufficient to prove the convergence of the formula (2.2) when $g \in C^0(I)$ and we suppose the existence of $2s - 1$ derivatives of the function g at point 0.

Now, we note that the functions $B_{2m,j}(t)$ depend on $B_{2m,j}^\sim$ by (2.11). From these relations, the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2m,2j}(t) &= \frac{1}{(2j)!} \sum_{i=1}^{s-j} (2j+2i-2)! \frac{B_{2m,2j+2i}^\sim}{t^{2i-2}}, \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, s-1), \\ B_{2m,2j+1}(t) &= \frac{1}{t(2j+1)!} \sum_{i=1}^{s-j-1} (2j+2i)! \frac{B_{2m,2j+2i}^\sim}{t^{2i-2}}, \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, s-2), \end{aligned}$$

easily follow.

Thus, by (3.7) we deduce

$$\left| \frac{B_{2m,j}(t)}{t} \right| \leq \frac{K}{j! m^{j+1}}, \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, 2s-2), \tag{3.8}$$

where $K > 0$ is a constant, independent on m, j , and $t \in A$.

4. ON THE CONVERGENCE OF RULE (2.10)

We set

$$P_{2s-2}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2s-2} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k,$$

$$g = f - P_{2s-2},$$

$$r_m = g - q_m,$$

where q_m is the best approximation polynomial with respect to the function g . We remark that $\omega(g; \delta) \leq \text{const } \omega(f; \delta)$ when $f \in C^0(I)$.

Under these assumptions, we may prove the following.

LEMMA 4.I. *Given any function $f \in C_s(I)$, there is a constant L independent on f and $m \in N$ such that*

$$|E_{2m}(f; t)| \leq L\delta_m + |a_{2m,c}(t)|, \quad t \in A, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$a_{2m,c}(t) = A_{2m,c} \frac{r_m(x_{2m,c}) - r_m(t)}{x_{2m,c} - t}, \tag{4.2}$$

$(m \in N^*),$

$$\delta_m = \|r_m\| (\log m + m^{-1}) + \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(f; u)}{u} du + \frac{\|q'_m\|_{\Delta}}{m}$$

$$+ m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2s-2} \frac{|q_m^{(j)}(0)|}{j! m^j}, \tag{4.3}$$

$(m \in N),$

and Δ is a closed set such that $\Delta \subset (-1, 1)$.

Proof. Having rule Φ_{2m} degree of exactness $4m + 2s$, we may claim that

$$|E_{2m}(f; t)| \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where

$$I_1 = |\Phi(r_m - r_m(t); t)|, \tag{4.4}$$

$$I_2 = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{2m} A_{2m,i} \frac{r_m(x_{2m,i}) - r_m(t)}{x_{2m,i} - t} \right|, \tag{4.5}$$

$$I_3 = \left| \frac{B_{2m,0}(t)}{t} (r_m(t) - r_m(0)) + \sum_{j=1}^{2s-2} \frac{B_{2m,j}(t)}{t} q_m^{(j)}(0) \right|. \tag{4.6}$$

Now, we obtain

$$I_1 \leq 2 \|r_m\| W(t) + w(t) \times \left\{ 4 \|r_m\| \log m + \left| \int_{|x-t| \leq 1/m} \frac{r_m(x) - r_m(t)}{x-t} dx \right| \right\},$$

where

$$W(t) = \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{w(x) - w(t)}{x-t} \right| dx$$

By

$$\left| \int_{|x-t| \leq 1/m} \frac{r_m(x) - r_m(t)}{x-t} dx \right| \leq \text{const} \int_0^{1/m} \omega(f; u) u^{-1} du + \frac{\|q'_m\|_{\mathcal{A}}}{m},$$

as $w \in TD$ implies $W \in C^0(I)$, we obtain

$$I_1 \leq \text{const} \left\{ \|r_m\| (\log m + 1) + \int_0^{1/m} \omega(f; u) u^{-1} du + \frac{\|q'_m\|_{\mathcal{A}}}{m} \right\}.$$

Furthermore, because of Lemma 3.II,

$$I_2 \leq \text{const} \|r_m\| \log m + |a_{2m,c}(t)|.$$

Finally, by (3.8), we have

$$I_3 \leq Km^{-1} \left\{ 2 \|r_m\| + \sum_{j=1}^{2s-2} \frac{q_m^{(j)}(0)}{j! m^j} \right\}.$$

The combination of these inequalities proves the lemma. ■

At this point, we may prove the following

THEOREM 4.I. *For any function $f \in C_s(I) \cap TD$, there exists a subsequence $\{\Phi_{2m_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ uniformly convergent to Φf on I .*

Proof. First we remark that from $f \in C_s(I) \cap TD$ follows

$$\|r_m\| \leq \text{const} \omega(f; m^{-1}),$$

$$\lim_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^{1/m} \omega(f; u) u^{-1} du = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \omega(f; m^{-1}) \log m = 0.$$

Furthermore, it is well known [7] that for any function $F \in C^{(r)}(I)$, ($r \geq 0$), if we denote by q_m the best approximation polynomial with respect to the function F , for any $k > r$ there exists a constant M_k such that

$$\|q_m^{(k)}\|_A \leq M_k m^{k-r} \omega(F^{(r)}; m^{-1}), \tag{4.5}$$

where A is a closed set such that $A \subset (-1, 1)$. Thus, from $g \in C^0(I)$, we obtain

$$m^{-1} \left\{ \|q'_m\|_A + \sum_{j=1}^{2s-2} \frac{|q_m^{(j)}(0)|}{j! m^j} \right\} \leq \text{const } \omega(f; m^{-1}).$$

Finally, we have

$$\lim_{m \in N} \delta_m = 0.$$

At this point, we introduce the set $N' = \{n \in N^* / |x_{2n,c} - t| \sim n^{-1} \log^{-1} n\}$. By $N \subset N' \subset N^*$, we obtain that N' is an infinite set and $N' = \{m_k\}_{k \in N}$. Then, for any sufficiently large $k \in N$, there exists a constant $H > 0$, independent of f and k such that

$$\|a_{2m_k,c}\| \leq H \omega(f; m_k^{-1}) \log m_k = o(1), \quad (k \rightarrow \infty),$$

and the theorem is proved. ■

Now, let $LD(\lambda)$, ($\lambda > 0$), be the class of functions $f \in C^0(I)$, such that $\omega(f; \delta) \log^\lambda \delta^{-1} = o(1)$, ($\delta \rightarrow 0^+$). Obviously, we have

$$LD(\lambda) \supset TD \quad \text{if } \lambda \in (0, 1],$$

and

$$LD(\lambda) \subset TD \quad \text{if } \lambda > 1.$$

Note that by Lemma 4.I and Theorem 4.I the corollaries immediately follows:

COROLLARY 4.I. *For any function $f \in LD(\lambda)$, ($\lambda > 1$), there exists a subsequence $\{E_{2m_i} f\}_{i \in N}$ such that*

$$\|E_{2m_i} f\| = o(\log^{\lambda-1} m_i), \quad (i \rightarrow \infty).$$

COROLLARY 4.II. *For any function $f \in Lip_M \alpha$, there exists a subsequence $\{E_{2m_j} f\}_{j \in N}$ such that*

$$\|E_{2m_j} f\| = O(m_j^{-\alpha} \log m_j), \quad (j \rightarrow \infty).$$

COROLLARY 4.III. For any function $f \in Lip_M 1$, we have

$$\|E_{2n}f\| = O(n^{-1} \log n), \quad (n \in N^*).$$

COROLLARY 4.IV. For any function $f \in C^{(k)}(I)$, we have

$$\|E_{2n}f\| = O(n^{-k} \log n \omega(f; n^{-1})), \quad (n \in N^*).$$

Furthermore, we observe that obvious changes in the proof of Theorem 4.I are sufficient to prove the following:

THEOREM 4.II. If the integral Φf exists, then, for any function $f \in C_s(I) \cap LD(1)$, there exists a subsequence $\{\Phi_{m_k} f\}_{k \in N}$ convergent to Φf in $(-1, 1) - \{0\}$.

At this point, we remark that by Lemma 3.II the norm of Φ_m is not bounded; then the continuity of the function f is not sufficient for the convergence of the sequence $\{\Phi_{2m}\}$, which besides is defined just for $m \in N^* \subset N$. Yet, in some cases we have $N^\sim = N^*$. This is true when $s = 0$, $\psi(x) \equiv 1$, $\mu = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, and $t = \cos(\pi p/q)$, where p/q is a rational number in $(0, 1)$, [8].

Further, it is not difficult to find a subsequence $\{\Phi_{2m_v}\}_{v \in N}$ not convergent when the function $f \in Lip_M \alpha$, ($\alpha < 1$). In fact, following the example $s = 0$, $\psi(x) \equiv 1$, $\mu = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, if we suppose $t = \cos(\theta\pi)$, where θ is an irrational number in $(0, 1)$, we have $|a_{2m_v, c}| \sim (2m_v)^{1-\alpha}$ for a particular sequence $\{m_v\}_{v \in N} \subset N^*$ (see [10, p. 23]).

From the proof of Theorem 4.I, we have that the term that causes difficulties to the convergence of $\Phi_{2m} f$ is $a_{2m, c}(t)$, corresponding to the closest knot to the singularity. Now, let us omit this term and consider the quadrature formula

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{2m}^*(f; t) = & f(t) \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w(x)}{x-t} dx + \sum_{i=1}^{2m} A_{2m, i} \frac{f(x_{2m, i}) - f(t)}{x_{2m, i} - t} \\ & + \frac{B_{2m, 0}(t)}{t} f(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{2s-2} \frac{B_{2m, j}(t)}{t} f^{(j)}(0), \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

that can be rewritten in the following form

$$\Phi_{2m}^*(f; t) = A_{2m}^*(t) f(t) + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq c}}^{2m} \frac{A_{2m, i}}{x_{2m, i} - t} f(x_{2m, i}) - \sum_{j=0}^{2s-2} \frac{B_{2m, j}(t)}{t} f^{(j)}(0), \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$A_{2m}^*(t) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w(x)}{x-t} dx - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq c}}^{2m} \frac{A_{2m, i}}{x_{2m, i} - t} + \frac{B_0(t)}{t}.$$

Then, the corresponding remainder is defined by

$$E_{2m}^*(f; t) = \Phi(f; t) - \Phi_{2m}^*(f; t).$$

One can easily prove that Φ_{2m}^* has degree of exactness 0, however we may consider Φ_{2m}^* for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and we may prove the following:

THEOREM 4.III. *For any function $f \in C_s(I) \cap TD$, the sequence $\{\Phi_{2m}^* f\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to Φf on A .*

Proof. Proceeding as in [4], we obtain

$$E_{2m}^*(f; t) = E_{2m}^*(r_m; t) + A_{2m,c} \frac{q_m(x_{2m,c}) - q_m(t)}{x_{2m,c} - t},$$

and recalling (3.4), (4.2), (4.4), we have

$$|E_{2m}^*(f; t)| \leq I_1 + 2 \|r_m\| \sigma_m(t) + I_3 + A_{2m,c}^* \|q'_m\|_A.$$

At this point, if we proceed as for the proof of Theorem 4.I, we may obtain

$$\|E_{2m}^* f\|_A \leq C(\delta_m + \omega(f; m^{-1})), \tag{4.8}$$

where C is a constant, independent on f and m .

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Furthermore, by (4.8) we obtain

$$\|E_{2m}^* f\|_A = o(\log^{\lambda-1} m) \quad \text{if } f \in LD(\lambda), \quad (\lambda > 1). \tag{4.9}$$

$$\|E_{2m}^* f\|_A = O(m^{-\alpha} \log m) \quad \text{if } f \in Lip_M \alpha, \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1). \tag{4.10}$$

On the other side, we have the following:

THEOREM 4.IV. *If the integral Φf exists, then for any function $f \in C_s(I) \subset LD(1)$, the sequence $\{\Phi_{2m}^* f\}$ converges to Φf in $(-1, 1) - \{0\}$:*

Further, we point out that Theorem 4.III, Theorem 4.IV and the relations (4.9), (4.10) also hold for the quadrature formula Φ_{2m}^{**} that we may obtain from Φ_{2m} omitting the two terms that correspond to both knots $x_{2m,d}$ and $x_{2m,d+1}$.

Finally, note that in the special case in which $s = 0$, we obtain results established in [4].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Paul Nevai for many helpful suggestions, which certainly improved this paper.

REFERENCES

1. V. BADKOV, Convergence in the mean and almost everywhere of Fourier series in polynomials orthogonal on an interval, *Math. USSR-Sb* (1974), 223-256.
2. N. K. BARI AND S. B. STECHKIN, Best approximations and differential properties of two functions, *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obschch.* **5** (1956), 483-522.
3. G. CRISCUOLO AND G. MASTROIANNI, "On the Convergence of the Gauss Quadrature Rules for Cauchy Principal-Value Integrals," Manuscript (1984).
4. G. CRISCUOLO AND G. MASTROIANNI, "On the Convergence of Modified Gauss Quadrature Rule for Evaluation of Cauchy Type Singular Integrals," Manuscript (1984).
5. G. FREUD, "Orthogonal polynomials," Pergamon, Elmsford, N.Y. 1971.
6. D. B. HUNTER, Some Gauss-type formulae for the evaluation of Cauchy principal values of integrals, *Numer. Math.* **19** (1972), 419-424.
7. D. LEVIATAN, The behavior of the derivatives of the algebraic polynomials of best approximation, *J. Approx. Theory* **35** (1982), 169-176.
8. D. S. LUBINSKY AND P. RABINOWITZ, Rates of convergence of gaussian quadrature for singular integrands, *Math. Comp.* (167) **43** (1984), 219-242.
9. P. NEVAL, Orthogonal polynomials, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **213** (1979).
10. I. NIVEN, "Diophantine Approximations," Interscience, New York, 1963.
11. R. PIESSENS, Numerical evaluation of Cauchy principal-values of integrals, *BIT* **10** (1970), 476-480.
12. H. A. ROTHMANN, Gaussian quadrature with weight function x^n on the interval $(-1, 1)$, *Math. Comp.* **15** (1961), 163-168.
13. D. D. STANCU, On a class of orthogonal polynomials and on some general quadrature formulae with minimum number of terms, [Romanian] *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. P. Roumanie (N.S.)* (49) **1** (1957), 479-498.